The Honorable Andrea Robertson 1 Department 47 Trial Date: 09.05.2023 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING 8 JOSHUA KING, individually and on behalf of all No. 22-2-14226-0 SEA 9 others similarly situated, DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO 10 Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION **COMPLAINT** 11 VS. 12 BEACON SALES ACQUISITION, INC., a Delaware corporation, 13 Defendant. 14 15 16 Defendant Beacon Sales Acquisition, Inc. ("Beacon" or "Defendant") hereby files its 17 Answer, Defenses and Affirmative Defenses to the Class Action Complaint for Damages 18 ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiff Joshua King ("Plaintiff"). Answers to each paragraph of the 19 Complaint are made without waiving, but expressly reserving, all rights that Defendant may have 20 to seek relief by appropriate motions directed to the allegations of the Complaint. Defendant 21 responds to the Complaint as follows: 22 I. INTRODUCTION 23 1.1 Answering Paragraph 1.1 of the Complaint, Defendant is a publicly traded 24 distributor of building materials in the United States and Canada. Except as specifically admitted, 25 Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1.1 of the Complaint.

1.2 Answering Paragraph 1.2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff is a current employee of Beacon. Paragraph 1.2 asserts legal conclusions regarding the action that do not require a response. To the extent Paragraph 1.2 requires a response, and Defendant has not otherwise admitted such allegations, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 1.2.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 Answering Paragraph 2.1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that it does business in the State of Washington and has offices in King County. Defendant admits it is registered to conduct business in the State of Washington. Paragraph 2.1 contains legal conclusions regarding the jurisdiction of the Court that do not require a response. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.1.
- 2.2 Answering Paragraph 2.2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits it operates and transacts business in King County. Paragraph 2.2 contains legal conclusions regarding venue that do not require a response. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.2.
- 2.3 Answering Paragraph 2.3 of the Complaint, this paragraph asserts legal conclusions regarding the law governing this action that do not require a response. To the extent Paragraph 2.3 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 2.3.

III. PARTIES

3.1 Answering Paragraph 3.1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff is a natural person. Defendant admits that Plaintiff has worked for Beacon as a driver since September 4, 2019. Defendant avers that Plaintiff's time and pay records speak for themselves. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations and therefore deny each and every allegation contained therein on this basis.

3.2 Answering Paragraph 3.2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits it is a company incorporated in Delaware with its principal place of business in Herndon, Virginia. Beacon admits it distributes and sells building materials in the United States and Canada. Beacon admits it operates more than 400 locations and maintains a fleet of more than 2,300 trucks. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 3.2.

IV. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 4.1 Answering Paragraph 4.1 of the Complaint, this paragraph asserts legal conclusions and is vague and ambiguous and as such Defendant denies each and every allegation contained herein on this basis.
- 4.2 Answering Paragraph 4.2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits it has employed drivers and loaders in the State of Washington during the period from September 2, 2019, through the present. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.2.
- 4.3 Answering Paragraph 4.3 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.3 of the Complaint.
- 4.4 Answering Paragraph 4.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action. Defendant admits that Plaintiff delivered building materials for Defendant in the State of Washington. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.4 of the Complaint.
- 4.5 Answering Paragraph 4.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation regarding the financial resources of Plaintiff and his counsel, and on that basis denies the allegation.

allegation regarding Plaintiff or his counsel's interests, and on that basis denies the allegation. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation regarding the experience and/or competency of Plaintiff's counsel and on that basis denies the allegation. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.5 of the Complaint.

Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the

- 4.6 Answering Paragraph 4.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action. Paragraph 4.6 contains legal conclusions regarding the predominance of issues that do not require a response. To the extent Paragraph 4.6 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.6.
- 4.7 Answering Paragraph 4.7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action. Paragraph 4.7 contains legal conclusions regarding the superiority of the class action that do not require a response. Paragraph 4.7 contains legal conclusions regarding the manageability of this action as a class action that do not require a response. To the extent Paragraph 4.7 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 4.7.

V. SUMMARY OF FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 5.1 Answering Paragraph 5.1 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.1 of the Complaint.
- 5.2 Answering Paragraph 5.2 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. To the extent Paragraph 5.2 is intended to assert any factual allegations, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.2. Except as specifically admitted, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.2 of the Complaint.

- 5.3 Answering Paragraph 5.3 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.3 of the Complaint.
- 5.4 Answering Paragraph 5.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.4 of the Complaint.
- 5.5 Answering Paragraph 5.5 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.5 of the Complaint.
- 5.6 Answering Paragraph 5.6 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.6 of the Complaint.
- 5.7 Answering Paragraph 5.7 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.7 of the Complaint.
- 5.8 Answering Paragraph 5.8 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.8 of the Complaint.
- 5.9 Answering Paragraph 5.9 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.9 of the Complaint.

- 5.10 Answering Paragraph 5.10 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.10 of the Complaint.
- 5.11 Answering Paragraph 5.11 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.11 of the Complaint.
- 5.12 Answering Paragraph 5.12 of the Complaint, Defendant denies any claim or implication by Plaintiff that this action is appropriately maintained as a class action based on a common course of action towards Plaintiff and alleged putative Class members. Defendant further denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.12 of the Complaint.
- 5.13 Answering Paragraph 5.13 of the Complaint, Paragraph 5.13 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 5.14 Answering Paragraph 5.14 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 5.14 of the Complaint.

VI. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violations of RCW 49.12.020 and WAC 296-126-092 – Failure to Provide Rest Periods)

- 6.1 Answering Paragraph 6.1 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.1 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 6.1 is intended to assert any factual allegations, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.1 of the Complaint.
- 6.2 Answering Paragraph 6.2 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.2 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 6.3 Answering Paragraph 6.3 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.3 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.

24

25

26

- 6.4 Answering Paragraph 6.4 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.4 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 6.5 Answering Paragraph 6.5 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.5 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 6.6 Answering Paragraph 6.6 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.6 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 6.7 Answering Paragraph 6.7 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.7 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 6.8 Answering Paragraph 6.8 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.8 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 6.9 Answering Paragraph 6.9 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.9 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 6.9 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.9 of the Complaint.
- 6.10 Answering Paragraph 6.10 of the Complaint, Paragraph 6.10 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 6.10 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 6.10 of the Complaint.

VII. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violations of RCW 49.12.020 and WAC 296-126-092 – Failure to Provide Meal Periods)

- 7.1 Answering Paragraph 7.1 of the Complaint, Paragraph 7.1 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 7.1 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 7.1 of the Complaint.
- 7.2 Answering Paragraph 7.2 of the Complaint, Paragraph 7.2 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 7.3 Answering Paragraph 7.3 of the Complaint, Paragraph 7.3 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.

denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.3 of the Complaint.

22

23

24

25

26

8.4 Answering Paragraph 8.4 of the Complaint, Paragraph 8.4 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 8.4 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 8.4 of the Complaint.

IX. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation of RCW 49.46.090 – Payment of Wages Less Than Entitled)

- 9.1 Answering Paragraph 9.1 of the Complaint, Paragraph 9.1 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 9.1 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.1 of the Complaint.
- 9.2 Answering Paragraph 9.2 of the Complaint, Paragraph 9.2 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 9.3 Answering Paragraph 9.3 of the Complaint, Paragraph 9.3 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 9.3 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.3 of the Complaint.
- 9.4 Answering Paragraph 9.4 of the Complaint, Paragraph 9.4 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 9.5 Answering Paragraph 9.5 of the Complaint, Paragraph 9.5 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 9.5 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 9.5 of the Complaint.

X. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation of RCW 49.52.060 and WAC 296-126-028 – Unlawful Deductions and Rebates)

- 10.1 Answering Paragraph 10.1 of the Complaint, Paragraph 10.1 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 10.1 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.1 of the Complaint.
- 10.2 Answering Paragraph 10.2 of the Complaint, Paragraph 10.2 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.

- 10.3 Answering Paragraph 10.3 of the Complaint, Paragraph 10.3 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 10.4 Answering Paragraph 10.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.4 of the Complaint.
- 10.5 Answering Paragraph 10.5 of the Complaint, Paragraph 10.5 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 10.5 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.5 of the Complaint.
- 10.6 Answering Paragraph 10.6 of the Complaint, Paragraph 10.6 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 10.6 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 10.6 of the Complaint.

XI. SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (RCW 49.52.050 – Willful Refusal to Pay Wages)

- 11.1 Answering Paragraph 11.1 of the Complaint, Paragraph 11.1 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 11.1 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.1 of the Complaint.
- 11.2 Answering Paragraph 11.2 of the Complaint, Paragraph 11.2 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 11.3 Answering Paragraph 11.3 of the Complaint, Paragraph 11.3 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response.
- 11.4 Answering Paragraph 11.4 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.4 of the Complaint.
- 11.5 Answering Paragraph 11.5 of the Complaint, Paragraph 11.5 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 11.5 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.5 of the Complaint.

9

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

11.6 Answering Paragraph 11.6 of the Complaint, Paragraph 11.6 contains legal conclusions not requiring a response. To the extent Paragraph 11.6 requires a response, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 11.6 of the Complaint.

XII. RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Answering Paragraphs A - H of Plaintiff's Prayer for Relief, Defendant denies that Plaintiff and/or the alleged putative members of the Class are entitled to the relief requested or any relief of any kind in this action.

DEFENSES AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant asserts the following separately numbered defenses and affirmative defenses without assuming any burden of proof that it does not have as a matter of law. To the extent that any defenses or legal theories asserted herein may be interpreted as being inconsistent, such defenses or legal theories are hereby pled in the alternative. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, and without waiving Plaintiff's burden to show otherwise, Defendant pleads as follows:

- 1. Defendant denies all allegations not specifically admitted herein.
- 2. The Complaint and each and every allegation thereof fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
 - 3. Plaintiff's claims are barred by payment and/or accord and satisfaction.
- 4. Plaintiff's claims are barred due to the express terms and requirements of his job position, and his agreement to such terms and requirements.
 - 5. Plaintiff's claims are barred by waiver and/or estoppel.
- 6. The Complaint and each of its purported causes of action are preempted by the Motor Carrier Safety Act of 1984, 49 U.S.C. § 31141.
- 7. Plaintiff is barred from bringing any and all claims against Defendant by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or his own conduct.
- 8. Any amounts due to Plaintiff are subject to offset or other deductions or adjustments for amounts paid to Plaintiff to which he was not otherwise entitled, including but not

limited to wage overpayments.

- 9. Plaintiff's injuries and/or losses, if any, were the result of Plaintiff's failure to take reasonable steps to mitigate or avoid damages.
- 10. Defendant alleges that any unlawful or other wrongful acts, if any, taken by any of the officers, directors, supervisors, or employees of Defendant were outside the scope of their authority and such acts, if any, were not authorized, ratified, or condoned by Defendant, nor did Defendant know, nor should they have known of such conduct.
- 11. The claim for violation of RCW 49.52.050 (willful withholding of wages) is barred because, at a minimum, a *bona fide* dispute exists as to the obligation to pay the wages sought in this action and/or because any incorrect deductions from wages were made erroneously rather than intentionally and/or because Plaintiff knowingly submitted to any alleged violations.
- 12. Plaintiff has been paid all wages and all other compensation due to him by virtue of his employment, if any, with Defendant.
- 13. Defendant's defenses above are likewise asserted as defense to the claims of any and all alleged putative members of any class that may be certified in this action.
- 14. This action is not properly maintainable as a class action because Plaintiff cannot establish all the elements necessary for class certification in that, among other things: common issues of fact or law do not predominate, to the contrary, individual issues predominate; Plaintiff's claims are not representative or typical of the claims of the putative class; Plaintiff is not a proper class representative; Plaintiff and alleged putative class counsel are not adequate representatives for the putative class; the putative class is not so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; there does not exist a well-defined community of interest as to the questions of law and fact involved; and the alleged putative Class is sufficiently manageable without implementing the class action mechanism and, therefore, it is not the superior method for adjudicating this dispute.
 - 15. Defendant has not yet completed a thorough investigation and study or completed

1 Dated: November 11, 2022 2 3 s/Douglas E. Smith Douglas E. Smith, WSBA #17319 desmith@littler.com 4 Rebecca Schach, WSBA #58018 5 rschach@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. One Union Square 6 600 University Street, Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101.3122 7 Phone: 206.623.3300 8 206.447.6965 Fax: 9 Attorneys for Defendant BEACON SALES ACQUISITION, INC. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I am a resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party 2 3 to the within action. My business address is One Union Square, 600 University Street, Suite 3200, Seattle, WA 98101. I hereby certify that on November 11, 2022, I caused to be served the 4 foregoing document via the method(s) indicated below: 5 6 **ELECTRONICALLY FILED** the foregoing document(s) via the King County Superior × Court E-Filing System and served a copy via that system, as required by the mandatory e-7 service requirement. 8 **EMAIL** to the email address(es) of the person(s) set forth below, *pursuant to the parties'* 9 agreement to electronic service. 10 **U.S. Mail** to the address(es) of the person(s) set forth below. 11 Hand Delivery 12 **Attorneys for Plaintiff** 13 Toby J. Marshall, WSBA No. 32726 Douglas Han, WSBA No. 59429 Erika L. Nusser, WSBA No. 40854 Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh, WSBA No. 14 Eric R. Nusser, WSBA No. 51513 59424 15 Terrell Marshall Law Group PLLC Justice Law Corporation 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 751 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 101 16 Seattle, WA 98103 Pasadena, CA 91103 Phone: (206) 816-6603 Phone: (818) 230-7502 17 (206) 319-5450 (818) 230-7259 tmarshall@terrellmarshall.com dhan@justicelawcorp.com 18 enusser@terrellmarshall.com statavos@justicelawcorp.com 19 eric@terrellmarshall.com 20 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the 21 above is true and correct. Executed on November 11, 2022, at Seattle, Washington. 22 23 /s/ Liana Natividad Liana Natividad 24 LNatividad@littler.com LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 25 4858-6260-2294.3 / 115167-1002